arXiv:1608.06032v1 [math.CO] 22 Aug 2016

THE MACMAHON q-CATALAN IS CONVEX

TEWODROS AMDEBERHAN

Abstract. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. In this paper, we study the convexity of the so-called MacMahon's q-Catalan polynomials $C_n(q) = \frac{1}{[n+1]_q}\begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q$ as functions of q. Along the way, several intermediate results on inequalities are presented including a commentary on the convexity of the generation function for the integer partitions.

1. Introduction

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $[n]_q = \frac{1-q^n}{1-q} = 1+q+\cdots+q^{n-1}$ and $[n]!_q = [1]_q[2]_q\cdots[n]_q$. Set $[0]_q = 0$ and $[0]!_q = 1$. The current literature embraces different versions of the q-Catalan polynomials, among them is the MacMahon's q-Catalan polynomial defined by

$$C_n(q) = \frac{1}{[n+1]_q}\begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[2n]!_q}{[n+1]!_q\,[n]!_q},$$

with $C_0(q) = C_1(q) = 1$. From here on, we assume the integer $n \geq 2$. Many authors considered the question of unimodality and log-concavity of Catalan polynomials and have established a few results. Our main goal, in this paper, is to explore yet another interesting question which incidentally seems to have been overlooked until now: the q-Catalan polynomials are *strictly convex functions* of q; to put another way, $C_n''(q) > 0$ for $n \geq 2$. This problem was conjectured by William Y. C. Chen in August 2015, who also conjectures that all even-order derivatives of $C_n(q)$ are positive [2]. We thank him for bringing his question to our attention. In the present work, we are able produce an *almost* complete proof of the convexity of $C_n(q)$ over the real line. The part missing from our analysis will be stated as a conjecture for which we provide a heuristic argument.

Throughout this paper $f'(q)$ means derivative with respect to the variable q, i.e $f'(q) = \frac{d}{dq}f(q)$.

Date: August 23, 2016.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary, Secondary.

Key words and phrases. Catalan numbers, Catalan polynomials, convexity.

Rewrite $C_n(q) = \prod_{j=2}^{n} \frac{1-q^{n+j}}{1-q^j}$ so that $C_n'(q) = C_n(q)Q_n(q)$; where we have introduced the rational function $Q_n(q) := \sum_{j=2}^{n} \left(\frac{1-q^{n+j}}{1-q^j}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1-q^{n+j}}{1-q^j}\right)'$. A routine calculation shows that

$$Q_n(q) = \sum_{j=2}^{n} \frac{jq^{j-1}}{1-q^j} - \sum_{j=n+2}^{2n} \frac{jq^{j-1}}{1-q^j}.$$

2. Preliminary results

In this section, we shall list some basic properties of the Macmahon Catalan polynomials and a few other results pertinent to the principal goal.

Proposition 2.1. The following are true.

(a) $C_n(0) = 1, C_n'(0) = 0$, and $C_n''(0) = 2$.

(b) $C_n(1) = C_n = \frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2n}{n}$, and $C_n'(1) = \binom{n}{2}C_n$.

(c) $C_n(-1) = \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$, while $C_n'(-1) = -\binom{n}{2}\binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$ and

$$C_n''(-1) = \frac{1}{12}\binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \cdot \begin{cases} n^2(n+1)(3n-5) & \text{if } n \text{ is even;} \\ (n^2-1)(3n^2-2n-2) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

(d) $C_n(q) > 0$ for any real number q and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(e) $C_n(q)$ is strictly increasing and strictly convex whenever $q > 0$.

Proof. (a)-(c): We may express $C_n(q) = f_n(q)C_{n-1}(q)$ where

$$f_n(q) = \frac{(1+q^n)(1-q^{2n-1})}{1-q^{n+1}}. \tag{2.1}$$

Then, the assertions become rather elementary based on the product rule $C_n' = f_n'C_{n-1} + f_nC_{n-1}', C_n'' = f_n''C_{n-1} + 2f_n'C_{n-1}' + f_nC_{n-1}''$ and induction on n.

(d)-(e): The *major index* of a Dyck path D, denoted maj(D), is the sum over all $i + j$ for which (i, j) is a *valley* of D. Then, the MacMahon's q-Catalan is a generating function for the major index:

$$\sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}_n} q^{\text{maj}(D)} = \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

It becomes immediate, from this combinatorial fact, that $C_n(q)$ is indeed a polynomial and each coefficient of $C_n(q)$ is non-negative. Thus, $C_n'(q) > 0$ and $C_n''(q) > 0$ for $q > 0$. We also would like to remark that $C_n(q)$ has degree $n(n-1)$ and the only *vanishing coefficients* are that of q and $q^{n(n-1)-1}$. By its very definition, each factor $[j] = \frac{1-q^j}{1-q}$ of $C_n(q)$ is a cyclotomic polynomial, hence the roots lie on the unit circle. In particular, any possible real root can only be $q = \pm 1$. From parts (b) and (c) above, it is clear that $C_n(\pm 1) \neq 0$.

Therefore, $C_n(q) \neq 0$ for any $q \in \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, $C_n(q)$ is continuous (as a polynomial) and hence by the Intermediate Value Theorem it must be either always negative or always positive-valued. Computing at any real q, say $C_n(0) = 1$, decidedly proves $C_n(q) > 0$ no matter what $q \in \mathbb{R}$. □

Lemma 2.1. *Denote $N := n(n-1)$. Then, the following identity holds*

$$qQ_n(q) + q^{-1}Q_n(q^{-1}) = N.$$

Proof. Notice that $C_n(q)$ is palindromic; in fact, $C_n(q) = q^N C_n(q^{-1})$. Taking the derivative results in $C_n'(q) = Nq^{N-1}C_n(q^{-1}) - q^{N-2}C_n'(q^{-1})$. Replacing $C_n(q)$ for $q^N C_n(q^{-1})$ leads to

$$C_n'(q) = Nq^{-1}C_n(q) - q^{N-2}C_n'(q^{-1}).$$

Substituting $C_n'(q) = C_n(q)Q_n(q)$ and then $q^N C_n(q^{-1}) = C_n(q)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_n(q)Q_n(q) &= Nq^{-1}C_n(q) - q^{N-2}C_n(q^{-1})Q_n(q^{-1}) \\ &= Nq^{-1}C_n(q) - q^{-2}C_n(q)Q_n(q^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $C_n(q) \neq 0$, we cross out this term from the last equation and hence $Q_n(q) = Nq^{-1} - q^{-2}Q_n(q^{-1})$, which after simple rearrangement yields the desired result. □

Lemma 2.2. *The following relation holds*

$$q^{N-3}C_n''(q^{-1}) = qC_n''(q) + (N-1)\cdot[Nq^{-1}C_n(q) - 2C_n'(q)].$$

Proof. Compute two derivatives in $C_n(q) = q^N C_n(q^{-1})$ by taking advantage of $C_n'(q) = Nq^{-1}C_n(q) - q^{N-2}C_n'(q^{-1})$ (see Lemma 2.1) and repeat this in the reverse form $q^{N-2}C_n'(q^{-1}) = Nq^{-1}C_n(q) - C_n'(q)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} C_n''(q) &= -Nq^{-2}C_n(q) + Nq^{-1}C_n'(q) - (N-2)q^{N-3}C_n'(q^{-1}) + q^{N-4}C_n''(q^{-1}) \\ &= -N(N-1)q^{-2}C_n(q) + 2(N-1)C_n'(q) + q^{N-4}C_n''(q^{-1}) \\ &= (N-1)q^{-1}\cdot[2C_n'(q) - Nq^{-1}C_n(q)] + q^{N-4}C_n''(q^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The claim follows up on multiplying through by q and swapping terms. □

Corollary 2.2. If, for $-1 < q < 0$, the polynomial $C_n(q)$ is strictly convex and $F_n(q) := \binom{n}{2} - qQ_n(q) \geq 0$ then $C_n(q)$ is also strictly convex for $q < -1$.

Proof. Observe that $Nq^{-1}C_n(q) - 2C_n'(q) = Nq^{-1}C_n(q) - 2C_n(q)Q_n(q) = 2q^{-1}C_n(q)\left[\binom{n}{2} - qQ_n(q)\right]$, so Lemma 2.2 reads

$$q^{N-4}C_n''(q^{-1}) = q^2C_n''(q) + 2(N-1)C_n(q)F_n(q). \tag{2.2}$$

We know $C_n(q)$ is always positive-valued. The quantities q^{N-4}, q^2 are both positive, therefore if $C_n''(q) > 0$ and $F_n(q) \geq 0$ then equation (2.2) implies $C_n''(q^{-1}) > 0$. The conclusion follows because $q^{-1} < -1$ if $-1 < q < 0$. □

3. Key results

We first prove one of the hypothesis in Corollary 2.2 is actually true.

Theorem 3.1. If $-1 < q < 1$ then $F_n(q) = \binom{n}{2} - qQ_n(q)$ is positive with $F_n(\pm 1) = 0$ and $F_n(0) = \binom{n}{2}$.

Proof. Since $qC_n'(q) = C_n(q) \cdot qQ_n(q) = C_n(q)\left[\binom{n}{2} - F_n(q)\right]$ and $C_n(q) \neq 0$, we notice $F_n(q) = \binom{n}{2} - \frac{qC_n'(q)}{C_n(q)}$ is well-defined for any $q \in \mathbb{R}$. Obviously $F_n(0) = \binom{n}{2}$. Applying Proposition 2.1 (b) and (c) verifies $F_n(\pm 1) = 0$.

Case $0 < q < 1$: by Proposition 2.1, $C_n(q) > 0, C_n'(q) = C_n(q)Q_n(q) > 0$ imply $Q_n(q) > 0$; Lemma 3.1 gives $Q_n'(q) > 0$. Combining, we get $F_n'(q) = -Q_n(q) - qQ_n'(q) < 0$, i.e. $F_n(q)$ is decreasing. Thus $F_n(q) > F_n(1) = 0$. And, we intend to exploit that. If $-1 < q < 0$, change variables $q = -t$ to show $F_n(-t) \geq F_n(t)$ for $0 < t < 1$. Break up the sums according to parity and get

$$F_n(-t) = \binom{n}{2} - \sum_{even}^{2,n} \frac{jt^j}{1-t^j} + \sum_{odd}^{2,n} \frac{jt^j}{1+t^j} + \sum_{even}^{n+2,2n} \frac{jt^j}{1-t^j} - \sum_{odd}^{n+2,2n} \frac{jt^j}{1+t^j}$$

$$F_n(t) = \binom{n}{2} - \sum_{even}^{2,n} \frac{jt^j}{1-t^j} - \sum_{odd}^{2,n} \frac{jt^j}{1-t^j} + \sum_{even}^{n+2,2n} \frac{jt^j}{1-t^j} + \sum_{odd}^{n+2,2n} \frac{jt^j}{1-t^j}.$$

So, it is enough to justify

$$\sum_{odd}^{2,n} \frac{jt^j}{1+t^j} - \sum_{odd}^{n+2,2n} \frac{jt^j}{1+t^j} \geq -\sum_{odd}^{2,n} \frac{jt^j}{1-t^j} + \sum_{odd}^{n+2,2n} \frac{jt^j}{1-t^j};$$

or, equivalently,

$$\sum_{j \text{ odd}}^{2,n} \frac{2jt^j}{1-t^{2j}} \geq \sum_{j \text{ odd}}^{n+2,2n} \frac{2jt^j}{1-t^{2j}} \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \sum_{j \text{ odd}}^{2,n} \frac{2j}{t^{-j}-t^j} \geq \sum_{j \text{ odd}}^{n+2,2n} \frac{2j}{t^{-j}-t^j}.$$

Actually, this inequality holds (strongly) term-by-term:

$$\frac{2j}{t^{-j}-t^j} > \frac{2(n+j)}{t^{-(n+j)}-t^{n+j}}. \tag{3.1}$$

Choose $\theta > 0$ such that $t^{-1} = e^\theta$ and the auxiliary function $g(x) := \frac{\sinh(\theta x)}{x}$. Now, inequality (3.1) amounts to $g(\theta(n+j)) > g(\theta j)$. This *monotonicity*, however, follows from the elementary observation

$$g'(x) = \frac{\theta x \cosh(\theta x) - \sinh(\theta x)}{x^2} > 0$$




FIGURE 1. Positivity and concavity of $F_4(q)$.

(or $\frac{\tanh(\theta x)}{\theta x} < 1$) which itself is valid by the Mean Value Theorem: there is some $0 < \eta < \theta x$ satisfying

$$\frac{\tanh(\theta x) - 0}{\theta x - 0} = \operatorname{sech}^2\eta < 1,$$

where we used $\cosh(y) > 1$ for any $y \neq 0$. We conclude $F_n(-t) \geq F_n(t) > 0$ for any $0 < t < 1$. The proof is complete. □

Note 3.2. Figure 1 illustrates positivity and concavity (the latter is left to the interested reader to check) of $F_4(q)$ in the range $-1 \leq q \leq 1$.

Corollary 3.3. If $q < -1$ then $C_n(q)$ is strictly decreasing.

Proof. Let $-1 < q < 0$. From Theorem 3.1, we reckon $qQ_n(q) < \binom{n}{2}$ and hence $q^{-1}Q_n(q^{-1}) > \binom{n}{2} > 0$ by Lemma 2.1; that means, $Q_n(q^{-1}) < 0$. Therefore $C_n'(q^{-1}) = C_n(q^{-1})Q_n(q^{-1}) < 0$. The claim holds since $C_n(q^{-1})$ is always positive. □

Useful key: Although $Q_n(q)$ and $Q_n'(q)$ appear to have singularities when $q = \pm 1$, they do *not!* Reason: by Proposition 2.1(d), the polynomial $C_n(q)$ never equals zero and thus the two rational functions $Q_n(q) = \frac{C_n'(q)}{C_n(q)}$ and $Q_n'(q) = \frac{C_n''(q)C_n(q) - [C_n'(q)]^2}{C_n^2(q)}$ are well-defined and analytic for all $q \in \mathbb{R}$. In short, $Q_n'(q)$ has finite values at $q = \pm 1$ (at least, in the limit) and it is a smooth function over $\mathbb{R}$; in particular, $Q_n'(q)$ is finite and bounded over the compact interval $|q| \leq 1$. To wit, $Q_n(-1) = \frac{C_n'(-1)}{C_n(-1)}$ and Proposition 2.1(c) imply $Q_n(-1) = -\binom{n}{2}$ is indeed finite. Likewise $Q_n'(-1) = \frac{2}{C_n}$ is finite.

Lemma 3.1. *If* $0 < q < 1$ *then* $Q_n'(q)$ *is positive.*

Proof. **Convention**: $\binom{a}{b} = 0$ when $a < b$ or $a < 0$. Induction on $n \geq 2$. Clearly $q^2Q_2'(q) = \frac{2q^2(1-q^2)}{(1+q^2)^2} > 0$. Assume the claim holds for n. Let's denote

$q^2Q'_{n+1}(q)-q^2Q'_n(q) := q^{n+1}R_n(q)$ and further $R_n(q) = R_n^{(1)}(q)+R_n^{(2)}(q)$ where

$$R_n^{(1)}(q) := \frac{(n+1)(q^{n+1}+n)}{(1-q^{n+1})^2} - \frac{(2n+1)q^n(q^{2n+1}+2n)}{(1-q^{2n+1})^2},$$
$$R_n^{(2)}(q) := \frac{(n+2)q(q^{n+2}+n+1)}{(1-q^{n+2})^2} - \frac{(2n+2)q^{n+1}(q^{2n+2}+2n+1)}{(1-q^{2n+2})^2}.$$

It suffices to show both $R_n^{(1)}(q)$ and $R_n^{(2)}(q)$ are positive when $0 < q < 1$. Again regroup to rewrite $[n+1]_q^2[2n+1]_q^2 R_n^{(1)}(q) = U_n^{(1)}(q)$ where

$$U_n^{(1)}(q) := \frac{(n+1)(q^{n+1}+n)(1-q^{2n+1})^2 - (2n+1)q^n(q^{2n+1}+2n)(1-q^{n+1})^2}{(1-q)^4}.$$

It is an easy exercise $U_n^{(1)}(q)$ is actually a polynomial, hence meromorphic. The numerator of $U_n^{(1)}(z)$, in its present form, becomes

$$n(n+1) - 2n(2n+1)z^n + (n+1)z^{n+1} + 2n(3n+1)z^{2n+1} - (2n+1)z^{3n+1}$$
$$- (4n^2+4n+2)z^{3n+2} + (n^2+5n+2)z^{4n+2} - nz^{5n+3}.$$

To read-off the coefficients α_j of $U_n^{(1)}(z)$, calculate a contour integral along a small circle Γ about the origin, and apply Cauchy's Integral formula. At this point, we invoke the series expansion $\frac{1}{(1-z)^4} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\binom{m+3}{3}z^m$. The outcome:

$$[q^j]U_n^{(1)}(q) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma \frac{U_n^{(1)}(z)}{z^{j+1}}dz = n(n+1)\binom{j+3}{3} - 2n(2n+1)\binom{j-n+3}{3}$$
$$+ (n+1)\binom{j-n+2}{3} + 2n(3n+1)\binom{j-2n+2}{3}$$
$$- (2n+1)\binom{j-3n+2}{3} - (4n^2+4n+2)\binom{j-3n+1}{3}$$
$$+ (n^2+5n+2)\binom{j-4n+1}{3} - n\binom{j-5n}{3} := \alpha_j$$

where $0 \le j \le 5n-1$. Now, engage pairing-up coefficients front-to-back as $\alpha_j q^j + \alpha_{5n-1-j}q^{5n-1-j} = q^j(\alpha_j + \alpha_{5n-1-j}q^{5n-1-2j})$ for $0 \le j < 2n$, and leave the rest ($2n \le j \le 3n-2$) alone. The task lies in checking $\alpha_j \ge -\alpha_{5n-1-j}$ (when $0 \le j < 2n$) and $\alpha_j > 0$ (when $0 \le j \le 3n-2$). This is routine.

If $0 \le j < n$, trivially $\alpha_j = n(n+1)\binom{j+3}{3} > -\alpha_{5n-1-j} = n\binom{j+3}{3}$.

If $n \le n+j < 2n$ then $\alpha_{n+j} = n(n+1)\binom{n+j+3}{3} - 2n(2n+1)\binom{j+3}{3} + (n+1)\binom{j+2}{3} > -\alpha_{4n-1-j} = n\binom{n+j+3}{3} - (n^2+5n+2)\binom{j+2}{3} > 0$ because $n^2\binom{n+j+3}{3} > 2n(2n+1)\binom{j+3}{3}$, which in turn is due to $\left(1+\frac{n}{j+3}\right)\left(1+\frac{n}{j+2}\right)\left(1+\frac{n}{j+1}\right) \ge \left(1+\frac{n}{n+2}\right)\left(1+\frac{n}{n+1}\right)2 > 2\left(1+\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^2 > \frac{4n+2}{n}$, as $\frac{1}{j+3} \ge \frac{1}{n+2}$, $\frac{1}{j+2} \ge \frac{1}{n+1}$, $\frac{1}{j+1} \ge \frac{1}{n}$ and $\frac{1}{n+2} > \frac{1}{n+1}$.

If $0 \leq j < n$, obviously $\alpha_j = n(n+1)\binom{j+3}{3} > 0$.

If $n \leq n+j < 2n$ then $\alpha_{n+j} > 0$ because $(n+1)\binom{n+j+3}{3} > 2(2n+1)\binom{j+3}{3}$, which in turn is due to $\left(1+\frac{n}{j+3}\right)\left(1+\frac{n}{j+2}\right)\left(1+\frac{n}{j+1}\right) \geq \left(1+\frac{n}{n+2}\right)\left(1+\frac{n}{n+1}\right)2 > 2\left(1+\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^2 > \frac{4n+2}{n+1}$, as $\frac{1}{j+3} \geq \frac{1}{n+2}$, $\frac{1}{j+2} \geq \frac{1}{n+1}$, $\frac{1}{j+1} \geq \frac{1}{n}$ and $\frac{1}{n+2} > \frac{1}{n+1}$.

If $2n \leq 2n+j \leq 3n-2$ then the justification for $\alpha_{2n+j} = n(n+1)\binom{2n+j+3}{3} - 2n(2n+1)\binom{n+j+3}{3} + (n+1)\binom{n+j+2}{3} + 2n(3n+1)\binom{j+2}{3} > 0$ is utterly (and tediously) similar and hence will be left to the interested reader.

At any rate, we obtain $U_n^{(1)}(q) > 0$ and thus $R_n^{(1)}(q) > 0$.

The case $R_n^{(2)}(q) > 0$ is entirely analogous and has been omitted in order to avoid unduly replications. Therefore, using the induction hypothesis, we may infer $q^2 Q'_{n+1}(q) = q^2 Q'_n(q) + q^{n+1} R_n(q) > 0$. This completes the proof that $Q'_n(q)$ is indeed positive, as required. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let $2 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $-1 < q < 0$ be a real number. Define $\varphi_j(q) = \frac{j[1+(j-1)q^{-j}]}{(q^{-j}-1)^2}$. Then, the following function is positive-valued. That is, if $n = 2m$ is even then*

$$K_n(q) := \varphi_n + \varphi_{n+1} - \varphi_{2n-1} - \varphi_{2n} > 0.$$

Proof. The sign of q^β depends on the parity of β. That is why there are two possibilities. Assume $n = 2m$ is even. Make the substitution $t = -\frac{1}{q} > 1$ and rearrange $K_{2m}(t)$ to group the summands in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{2m}(t) =& \frac{2m(2m-1)}{t^{2m}+1} - \frac{4m^2}{(t^{2m}+1)^2} - \frac{2m(2m+1)}{t^{2m+1}+1} + \frac{(2m+1)^2}{(t^{2m+1}+1)^2} \\
&+ \frac{(4m-1)(4m-2)}{t^{4m-1}+1} - \frac{(4m-1)^2}{(t^{4m-1}+1)^2} \\
=& \left\{\frac{(2m+1)^2}{(t^{2m+1}+1)^2} - \frac{(2m+1)^2}{(t^{4m-1}+1)^2}\right\} + \frac{2}{t^{4m-1}+1} \\
&+ \left\{\frac{4m^2-2m}{t^{4m-1}+1} - \frac{4m^2}{(t^{2m}+1)^2}\right\} + \left\{\frac{12m^2-18m}{t^{4m-1}+1} - \frac{12m^2-12m}{(t^{4m-1}+1)^2}\right\} \\
&+ \left\{\frac{2m(2m-1)}{t^{2m}+1} - \frac{2m(2m+1)}{t^{2m+1}+1} + \frac{8m}{t^{4m-1}+1}\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Inequality 1: $\frac{(2m+1)^2}{(t^{2m+1}+1)^2} - \frac{(2m+1)^2}{(t^{4m-1}+1)^2} > 0$ and $\frac{2}{t^{4m-1}+1} > 0$, for $m \geq 1$, is apparent.

Inequality 2: Based on $\frac{4m^2-2m}{t^{4m-1}+1} - \frac{4m^2}{(t^{2m}+1)^2} = \frac{2m[(2m-1)t^{4m}+2(2m-1)t^{2m}-2mt^{4m-1}-1]}{(t^{4m-1}+1)(t^{2m}+1)^2}$, we show the numerator (after a rewrite) $t + \frac{2}{t^{2m-1}} > 1 + \frac{1}{2m-1} + \frac{1}{(2m-1)t^{4m-1}} > 0$. It suffices to prove $J_2(t) := t + \frac{2}{t^{2m-1}} > 1 + \frac{2}{2m-1}$. For $t > 0$, the function $J_2(t)$

has an absolute minimum at $\tau = (4m-2)^{\frac{1}{2m}}$. So, the task reduces to $J_2(\tau) = \frac{2m\tau}{2m-1} > \frac{2m+1}{2m-1}$. This amounts to $J_{2,1}(m) := 4m - 2 - \left(1+\frac{1}{2m}\right)^{2m} > 0$. By log-differentiation, $\left(1+\frac{1}{2m}\right)^{2m} < e = \lim_{m\to\infty}\left(1+\frac{1}{2m}\right)^{2m}$ monotonically. Hence $J_{2,1}(m) > 4m - 2 - e > 0$, when $m \geq 2$. We declare that $\frac{4m^2-2m}{t^{4m-1}+1} - \frac{4m^2}{(t^{2m}+1)^2} > 0$ if $m \geq 2$ and $t > 1$. *Caveat*. The case $m = 1$ will be accounted for later.

Inequality 3: $\frac{12m^2-18m}{t^{4m-1}+1} - \frac{12m^2-12m}{(t^{4m-1}+1)^2} = \frac{6m[(2m-3)t^{4m-1}-1]}{(t^{4m-1}+1)^2} > 0$, for $m \geq 2$, is transparent. *Caveat*. The case $m = 1$ will be accounted for later.

Inequality 4: Make a change of variables again $0 < q = \frac{1}{t} < 1$ back to q. This way, we get $K_{2m}^{(1)}(q) = \frac{2m(2m-1)q^{2m}}{1+q^{2m}} - \frac{2m(2m+1)q^{2m+1}}{1+q^{2m+1}} + \frac{8mq^{4m-1}}{1+q^{4m-1}}$. Next, compute $K_{2m}^{(2)}(q) := \frac{(1+q^{2m})}{2mq^m}K_{2m}^{(1)}(q)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} K_{2m}^{(2)} &= (2m-1) - \frac{(2m+1)[1+q^{2m+1}+q-1]}{1+q^{2m+1}} + \frac{4[1+q^{4m-1}+q^{2m-1}-1]}{1+q^{4m-1}} \\ &= 2 + \frac{(2m+1)(1-q)}{1+q^{2m+1}} - \frac{4(1-q^{2m-1})}{1+q^{4m-1}} \\ &> 2 + \frac{(2m+1)(1-q)}{1+q^{2m+1}} - 4(1-q^{2m-1}), \end{aligned}$$

since $\frac{-1}{1+q^{4m-1}} > -1$. Multiply through by $(1+q^{2m+1})$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} (1+q^{2m+1})K_{2m}^{(2)} &> 2(1+q^{2m+1}) + (2m+1)(1-q) - 4(1-q^{2m-1})(1+q^{2m+1}) \\ &= 4q^{4m} - 2q^{2m+1} + 4q^{2m-1} - (2m+1)q + 2m - 1 \\ &= 4q^{4m} + 2q^{2m-1} + 2(1-q^2)q^{2m-1} - (2m+1)q + 2m - 1 \\ &> 4q^{4m} + 2q^{2m} + 2(1-q^2)q^{2m-1} - (2m+1)q + 2m - 1 \\ &> 4q^{4m} + 2q^{2m} - (2m+1)q + 2m - 1 \\ &> 4\sqrt{2}q^{3m} - (2m+1)q + 2m - 1 \\ &> 5q^{3m} - (2m+1)q + 2m - 1; \end{aligned}$$

where we used $q^{2m-1} > q^{2m}$, $2(1-q^2)q^{2m-1} > 0$ and AGM on $4q^{4m} + 2q^{2m}$.

Claim. $K_{2m}^{(3)}(q) := 5q^{3m} - (2m+1)q + 2m - 1 > 0$ for $0 < q < 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By *Descartes' rule of signs*, $K_{2m}^{(3)}$ has exactly two or no real roots $q > 0$. We show the latter is true. For $q > 0$, basic calculus suggests $K_{2m}^{(3)}$ is convex having one global minimum at $q_\dagger$ where $\frac{d}{dq}K_{2m}^{(3)}(q_\dagger) = 0$. From this vantage point, it suffices to prove $K_{2m}^{(3)}(q_\dagger) = \frac{2m+1}{3m}q_\dagger - (2m+1)q_\dagger + 2m - 1 > 0$ where $q_\dagger^{3m-1} = \frac{2m+1}{15m}$. Therefore, the problem becomes equivalent to the inequality $\left(\frac{3m(2m-1)}{(2m+1)(3m-1)}\right)^{3m-1} > \frac{2m+1}{15m}$ or, if you prefer, $\left(\frac{(2m+1)(3m-1)}{3m(2m-1)}\right)^{3m} < \frac{5(3m-1)}{2m-1}$. On other

other hand, $\frac{3m-1}{2m-1} > \frac{3}{2}$ and hence we just prove $x_m := \left(\frac{(2m+1)(3m-1)}{3m(2m-1)}\right)^{3m} < \frac{15}{2}$. Define $y_m^3 = x_m$. Let's verify the sequence y_m is increasing, which implies x_m is increasing as well. To this end, compute $\frac{y_{m+1}}{y_m} = z_m^m \left(\frac{(2m+3)(3m+2)}{(3m+3)(2m+1)}\right)$ where $z_m := \frac{m(2m+3)(3m+2)(2m-1)}{(m+1)(2m+1)^2(3m-1)}$. We may then proceed to write $z_m = 1 - w_m$ with

$$w_m := \frac{(8m^2 + 4m - 1)}{(2m+1)^2(m+1)(3m-1)}.$$

Apply the extended Bernoulli's inequality $(1-A)^m \geq 1 - \binom{m}{1}A + \binom{m}{2}A^2 - \binom{m}{3}A^3$ by choosing $A = w_m$. If we denote $T(m) := 1 - \binom{m}{1}w_m + \binom{m}{2}w_m^2 - \binom{m}{3}w_m^3$, it remains to check

$$T(m)\left(\frac{(2m+3)(3m+2)}{(3m+3)(2m+1)}\right) - 1 \geq 0$$

so that $y_{m+1} \geq y_m$. This part is very tedious (symbolic software recommended!); in detail, if $m \geq 3$ then

$$T(m)\left(\frac{(2m+3)(3m+2)}{(3m+3)(2m+1)}\right) - 1 = \frac{1}{18}\sum_{k=0}^{12} \frac{a_k(m-3)^k}{(m+1)^4(2m+1)^7(3m-1)^3} > 0$$

because the coefficients a_k are positive constants with numerical value

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_0 = 2225214522, \quad a_1 = 9975561651, \quad a_2 = 19501465967,\\
&a_3 = 22337785440, \quad a_4 = 16851826471, \quad a_5 = 8872479001,\\
&a_6 = 3355972074, \quad a_7 = 921381440, \quad a_8 = 182598704,\\
&a_9 = 25512480, \quad a_{10} = 2388160, \quad a_{11} = 134592, \quad a_{12} = 3456.
\end{aligned}$$

Alas, we have succeed to show y_m is increasing and the same goes to x_m. So, the optimal value is achieved at infinity, i.e. for each m,

$$x_m \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{2n+1}{2n-1}\right)^{3n} \lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{3n-1}{3n}\right)^{3n} = \frac{1}{e}\cdot e^3 = e^2 < \frac{15}{2}.$$

To summarize, the above argument proves $K_{2m}^{(3)}(q) > 0$ when $m \geq 3$. The special cases $m = 1$ and $m = 2$ should be verified against the inequality

$$\left(\frac{3m(2m-1)}{(2m+1)(3m-1)}\right)^{3m-1} > \frac{2m+1}{15m},$$

which turns out to hold true. The claim is proven.

Inequality 5: For special case $m = 1$, we do not use any of the above series of inequalities. Instead, $K_2(t) = \frac{2(t^2-1)}{(t^2+1)^2} > 0$ is recovered directly, for $t > 1$. Putting all these inequalities together proves Case 1: $K_{2m}(q) > 0$. □

4. The missing link

Lemma 3.2 has its counterpart shown below, for which we are only able to furnish a less-than-rigorous proof.

Conjecture 4.1. Let $2 \le n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $-1 < q < 0$ be a real number. Define $\varphi_j(q) = \frac{j[1+(j-1)q^{-j}]}{(q^{-j}-1)^2}$. Then, the following function is positive-valued. That is, if $n = 2m+1$ is odd then

$$L_n(q) := \varphi_n + \varphi_{n-1} + \varphi_n + \varphi_{n+1} - \varphi_{2n-3} - \varphi_{2n-2} - \varphi_{2n-1} - \varphi_{2n} > 0.$$

Heuristic Proof. Assume $n = 2m+1$ is odd (hence $n' = n-1$ is even). Make the change of variables $t = -\frac{1}{q} > 1$ and denote the resulting function (still) by $\varphi_j(t)$. Then,

$$L_n(t) = -\varphi_{2n-1} - \varphi_{2n-3} + (\varphi_{n-1} - \varphi_{2n-2}) + (\varphi_n - \varphi_{2n}) + \varphi_{n+1}.$$

Inequality 6. Since $t^{4m+1} + 1 > 2$, we've $-\varphi_{2n-1}(t) = \frac{4m(4m+1)}{t^{4m+1}+1} - \frac{(4m+1)^2}{(t^{4m+1}+1)^2} > 0$.

Let's denote $\mathcal{Y}_n(t) := L_n(t) + \varphi_{2n-1}$ to fashion out

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Y}_{2m+1}(t) = {} & \frac{(4m-1)[(4m-2)t^{4m-1}-1]}{(t^{4m-1}+1)^2} + \frac{2m[(2m-1)t^{2m}-1]}{(t^{2m}+1)^2} \\ & + \frac{(2m+2)[(2m+1)t^{2m+2}+1]}{(t^{2m+2}-1)^2} - \frac{(2m+1)[(2m)t^{2m+1}-1]}{(t^{2m+1}+1)^2} \\ & - \frac{(2m+1)[(2m)t^{2m+1}+1]}{(t^{2m+1}-1)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Our target is to prove $\mathcal{Y}_{2m+1}(t)$ for $m \ge 2$ and $t > 1$. Next, construct the polynomial

$$\mathcal{X}_{2m+1}(t) := (t^{4m-1}+1)^2(t^{4m+2}-1)^2(t^{2m+2}-1)^2(t^{2m}+1)^2\mathcal{Y}_{2m+1}(t)$$

with the intent of showing its positivity. The idea entails an expansion in powers of $(t-1)$. The groundwork relies on writing $t^k = (1+(t-1))^k$. For example, the coefficient of t^j in $(t^{4m-1}+1)^2$ is given by

$$[t^j](t^{4m-1}+1)^2 = \mathcal{X}_j^{(1)}(m) := \binom{0}{j} + 2\binom{4m-1}{j} + \binom{8m-2}{j}.$$

Similarly, we denote

$$\begin{aligned}
O_j^{(1)}(m) &:= (4m-2)\binom{4m-1}{j} - \binom{0}{j}, \qquad \mathcal{X}_j^{(2)}(m) := \binom{0}{j} + 2\binom{2m}{j} + \binom{4m}{j},\\
O_j^{(2)}(m) &:= (2m-1)\binom{2m}{j} - \binom{0}{j}, \qquad \mathcal{X}_j^{(3)}(m) := \binom{0}{j} - 2\binom{2m+2}{j} + \binom{4m+4}{j},\\
O_j^{(3)}(m) &:= (2m+1)\binom{2m+2}{j} + \binom{0}{j}, \qquad \mathcal{X}_j^{(4)}(m) := \binom{0}{j} + 2\binom{2m+1}{j} + \binom{4m+2}{j},\\
O_j^{(4)}(m) &:= (2m)\binom{2m+1}{j} - \binom{0}{j}, \qquad \mathcal{X}_j^{(5)}(m) := \binom{0}{j} - 2\binom{2m+1}{j} + \binom{4m+2}{j},\\
O_j^{(5)}(m) &:= (2m)\binom{2m+1}{j} + \binom{0}{j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Our approach in proving $\mathcal{X}_{2m+1}(t) > 0$, for $m \geq 2$ and $t > 1$, relies simply on writing $\mathcal{X}_{2m+1}(t) = \sum_{j\geq 0} U_j(m)(t-1)^j$ and exhibiting the coefficients $U_j(m)$ are all positive. To this end, we only produce explicit formulas for $U_j(m)$ and omit the rest of the details. Anyways, here is the current state-of-affairs:

$$\begin{aligned}
U_j(m) := &\sum_{\substack{j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4=j\\ j_i\geq 0}} (4m-1)O_{j_1}^{(1)}\mathcal{X}_{j_2}^{(2)}\mathcal{X}_{j_3}^{(3)}\mathcal{X}_{j_4}^{(4)}\mathcal{X}_{j_5}^{(5)}\\
&+ \sum_{\substack{j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4=j\\ j_i\geq 0}} (2m)\mathcal{X}_{j_1}^{(1)}O_{j_2}^{(2)}\mathcal{X}_{j_3}^{(3)}\mathcal{X}_{j_4}^{(4)}\mathcal{X}_{j_5}^{(5)}\\
&+ \sum_{\substack{j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4=j\\ j_i\geq 0}} (2m+2)\mathcal{X}_{j_1}^{(1)}\mathcal{X}_{j_2}^{(2)}O_{j_3}^{(3)}\mathcal{X}_{j_4}^{(4)}\mathcal{X}_{j_5}^{(5)}\\
&- \sum_{\substack{j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4=j\\ j_i\geq 0}} (2m+1)\mathcal{X}_{j_1}^{(1)}\mathcal{X}_{j_2}^{(2)}\mathcal{X}_{j_3}^{(3)}O_{j_4}^{(4)}\mathcal{X}_{j_5}^{(5)}\\
&- \sum_{\substack{j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4+j_5=j\\ j_i\geq 0}} (2m+1)\mathcal{X}_{j_1}^{(1)}\mathcal{X}_{j_2}^{(2)}\mathcal{X}_{j_3}^{(3)}\mathcal{X}_{j_4}^{(4)}O_{j_5}^{(5)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This, however, becomes computationally prohibitive and a reason why the proof remains not rigorous enough to be complete.

Inequality 7. For special case $m = 1$, we go back to the very definition of $L_n(t)$. In detail, the numerator of

$$L_3(t) = \frac{2t^{10} - 6t^9 + 10t^8 + 4t^7 + 25t^6 + 6t^5 + 4t^4 - 6t^2 - 6}{(t^2+t+1)^2(t^4 - t^3 + t^2 - t + 1)^2}$$

emerges as having positive coefficients when expanded in powers of $(t-1)$; i.e., $33 + 258(t-1) + 691(t-1)^2 + 1012(t-1)^3 + 913(t-1)^4 + 548(t-1)^5 +$




FIGURE 2. Positivity of $L_5(t)$ for $t > 1$.

$249(t-1)^6 + 108(t-1)^7 + 46(t-1)^8 + 14(t-1)^9 + 2(t-1)^{10} > 0$. Therefore $L_3(t) > 0$.

Combining the above argument, in principle at least, brandishes reliable evidence towards the claim that $L_{2m+1}(q) > 0$ should be valid. Figure 2 depicts positivity of $L_5(t)$.

End of heuristic proof.

5. Main results and consequences

The next result proves another hypothesis in Corollary 2.2 is also true.

Theorem 5.1. $C_n(q)$ is strictly convex in the range $-1 < q < 0$.

Proof. Recall $Q_n(q) = \sum_{j=2}^{n} \frac{jq^{j-1}}{1-q^j} - \sum_{j=n+2}^{2n} \frac{jq^{j-1}}{1-q^j}, C_n'(q) = C_n(q)Q_n(q)$ and $q^2Q_n'(q) = \sum_{2}^{n} \frac{jq^j(q^j-1+j)}{(1-q^j)^2} - \sum_{n+2}^{2n} \frac{jq^j(q^j-1+j)}{(1-q^j)^2}$. Our goal is to establish that $q^2Q_n'(q) > 0$, for $-1 < q < 0$, and hence $Q_n^2(q) + Q_n'(q) > 0$. The rest follows from $C_n''(q) = C_n(q)[Q_n^2(q) + Q_n'(q)] > 0$.

If n is odd integer, then $q^2Q_n'(q) - Q_{n-2}'(q) = L_n(q)$ and Conjecture 4.1 reveals $L_n(q) > 0$. By induction on $n \geq 3$ and the fact that (for the base case) $q^2Q_3'(q) = L_3(q) > 0$, we firmly deduce that $q^2Q_n'(q) > 0$. Similarly for n even: from Lemma 3.2, we have $q^2Q_n'(q) - q^2Q_{n-1}'(q) = K_n(q) > 0$ and the odd case we just proved on $q^2Q_{n-1}'(q) > 0$. The proof is complete.. □

Corollary 5.2. There exist two real polynomials $A(q)$ and $B(q)$ such that the numerator of $q^2Q_n'(q)$ equals to $A^2(q) + (1-q^2)B^2(q)$.

Proof. Let $N_n(q)$ be the numerator polynomial of $q^2Q_n'(q)$. By Lemma 3.1, the proof of Theorem 5.1, Proposition 2.1 and since the denominator of $q^2Q_n'(q)$ is always positive, we know $N_n(q) > 0$ whenever $-1 \leq q \leq 1$.

Let's make the substitution $q = \cos\theta$, so $N_n(\theta) > 0$ for $|\theta| \leq \pi$ and $N_n(\theta)$ is a *cosine polynomial* which may be written in the form $N_n(\theta) = z^{-d}G_n(z)$

where $d = \deg(N_n)$, the unimodulus $z = e^{i\theta}$ and $G_n(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \cdots + a_{2d} z^{2d}$. Keep in mind $\cos\theta = \frac{z+\frac{1}{z}}{2}$ when $z = e^{i\theta}$. In the sequel ,$\Re(\zeta)$ = real part of ζ. Notice that any complex root q of $N_n(q)$ corresponds to a root z of $G_n(z)$, including multiplicities, under the *double-sheet* mapping $q \mapsto \frac{z+\frac{1}{z}}{2}$ of the Riemann sphere. Further, observe $\overline{G_n(\frac{1}{\overline{z}})} = G_n(z)$ and hence if α is a root then so is $\frac{1}{\overline{\alpha}}$. Because $N_n(q)$ has only real coefficients, the same goes to $G_n(z)$ and therefore complex roots occur in *conjugate* pairs. For example, if $q = \cos\theta, z = e^{i\theta}$, $f(\theta) = 1 + q^2$, then associate $G(z) = z^4 + 6z^2 + 1$. Hence $G(z) = (z-\zeta)(z-\overline{\zeta})(z-1/\overline{\zeta})(z-1/\zeta)$ where $\zeta = i(1+\sqrt{2})$.

Going back to our general treatment, factorize

$$G_n(z) = c \prod_{\mu} \Big(z - \zeta_\mu\Big)\Big(z - \overline{\zeta_\mu}\Big)\left(z - \frac{1}{\overline{\zeta_\mu}}\right)\left(z - \frac{1}{\zeta_\mu}\right).$$

Facts:
(1) $|w| = |\overline{w}|$ for any complex w;
(2) $\overline{z} = \frac{1}{z}$ for z on the unit circle. These ideas imply $|z - 1/\overline{\zeta}| = \overline{|z - 1/\overline{\zeta}|} = |1/z - 1/\zeta| = \frac{|z-\zeta|}{|\zeta|}$ as long as $|z| = 1$.

Remarks: (1) if $\zeta_\mu = r$ is real then as in above, $|(z-r)(z-\frac{1}{r})| = \frac{|z-r|^2}{|r|}$;
(2) if $|\zeta_\mu| = 1$, we must have (non-real) conjugate roots with corresponding roots $\frac{\zeta_\mu + \frac{1}{\overline{\zeta_\mu}}}{2} = \frac{\zeta_\mu + \overline{\zeta_\mu}}{2} = \Re(\zeta_\mu) = \cos\theta_\mu$ in the realm of θ-domain, lending to factors of the form $q - \cos\theta_\mu$ for the polynomial $N_n(\theta)$. These zeros must be of even multiplicity, otherwise we get a contradiction to the positivity $N_n(\theta) > 0$ of Lemma 3.1 and the proof of Theorem 5.1 by choosing a real number $-1 < q = \cos\theta < 1$ such that the factor $q - \cos\theta_\mu < 0$ to make $N_n(q) < 0$. So, we may still group the roots as $\zeta_\mu, \overline{\zeta_\mu}, \frac{1}{\overline{\zeta_\mu}}, \frac{1}{\zeta_\mu}$; (3) direct calculations show (see earlier sections) that $\zeta_\mu \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ can not be roots since they correspond to $q \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ for which $Q_n'(q) \neq 0$ (for instance, using $C_n^2 Q_n' = C_n'' C_n - [C_n']^2$).

Since $N_n(\theta) > 0$, for any real number θ, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N_n(\theta) = |N_n(\theta)| = |G_n(z)| &= |c| \prod_{\mu} \Big|z - \zeta_\mu\Big| \left|z - \frac{1}{\overline{\zeta_\mu}}\right| \Big|z - \overline{\zeta_\mu}\Big| \left|z - \frac{1}{\zeta_\mu}\right| \\ &= \kappa \prod_{\mu} |z - \zeta_\mu|^2 |z - \overline{\zeta_\mu}|^2 \\ &= \kappa \prod_{\mu} \Big|z^2 - 2z\Re(\zeta_\mu) + |\zeta_\mu|^2\Big|^2 ; \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 3. Convexity of $C_4(q)$.

where $\kappa = \frac{|c|}{\prod_\mu |\zeta_\mu|^2}$. That means, there exists a polynomial $h(z)$ with real coefficients so that $N_n(\theta) = |h(z)|^2$ for any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ (by periodicity, $-\pi \le \theta \le \pi$ suffices), when $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is specialized to $z = e^{i\theta}$. Use $z = \cos\theta + i\sin\theta$ in order to break-up the function $h(z)$ into real and imaginary parts:

$$N_n(\cos\theta) = |A(\cos\theta) + i\sin\theta\, B(\cos\theta)|^2 = A^2(\cos\theta) + (1-\cos^2\theta)B^2(\cos\theta),$$

for some polynomials A and B in the ring $\mathbb{R}[\cos\theta]$, due to the fact that a *sine polynomial* may always be written as $\sin\theta\, B(\cos\theta)$. In the end, we have discovered that

$$N_n(q) = A^2(q) + (1-q^2)B^2(q)$$

for $-1 \le q \le 1$. Finally, we simply recognize that if two polynomials (in fact, any two analytic functions) agree on a subset with *accumulation point* (in our case $|q| \le 1$) then they must agree in their domain.

We conclude that $N_n(q) = A^2(q) + (1-q^2)B^2(q)$, for $q \in \mathbb{R}$, and hence the proof is complete. □

Finally, we are ready to state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 5.3. The q-Catalan polynomials $C_n(q)$ are strictly convex over $\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. The case $q > 0$ is handled by the fact that $C_n(q)$ has non-negative coefficients; see Proposition 2.1(d) and (e). The case $-1 < q < 0$ is the content of Theorem 5.1. The case $q < -1$ is implied by Corollary 2.2, Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 5.1. The special values for $q = 0$ and $q = -1$ appear in Proposition 2.1(a) and (c). The proof is complete. □

Figure 3 portrays monotonicity and convexity of $C_4(q)$. Note also that $C_n(q) \ge C_n(0) = 1$.

Note 5.4. By contrast, the central q-binomial coefficients $\begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q$ do not enjoy such a property convexity.

6. Concluding remarks

In this section, we leave the reader with certain inequalities of particular interest. Theorem 6.1 is in harmony with the preceding sections, it may also be regraded being of independent value. Corollary 6.2 displayed below seems *weaker* than Theorem 5.3, the main result of this paper; in the sense that it does not offer the extent to *how large n* should be. So, it may be viewed principally as a theoretical contribution on the topic at hand.

Below, we say f_n defined on D *converges to* f *uniformly on compacta* if $f_n \to f$ uniformly on every compact subset $K \subset D$. Denote the interval $\{q \in \mathbb{R} : -1 < q < 1\}$ by $\mathcal{I}$.

Observe that, for $q \in \mathcal{I}$, the MacMahon's q-Catalan polynomials $C_n(q)$ converge *uniformly* on compacta (for instance, by the Weierstrass M-test) to an infinite product, namely

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} C_n(q) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \prod_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1-q^{n+k}}{1-q^k} = \prod_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q^k} := F(q),$$

which is a generating function for partitions $\lambda \vdash n$ with no part equal to 1.

On the other hand, the classical partition function $P(n)$ satisfies the so-called Hardy–Ramanujan–Rademacher (see [1], Chapter 5) asymptotic estimate $\log P(n) \sim c_0 \sqrt{n}$, and so

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log P(n)}{n} = 0.$$

Thus the growth rate function of $P(n)$ is *subexponential*; as a result, standard tests show the product/infinite series $G(q) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q^k} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(n)q^n$ has radius of convergence 1. It is evident, too, that

$$F(q) = \prod_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q^k} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (P(n) - P(n-1))q^n$$

shares the same interval of convergence $|q| < 1$. So, there is ample reason to study the function $F(q)$. To begin with, $F(q) > 0$ since each term in the product is such. Next, convergence allows to compute derivatives freely over the interval $\mathcal{I}$, and we just do so by logarithmic-differentiation:

$$F'(q) = F(q) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{kq^{k-1}}{1-q^k}$$

and

$$F''(q) = F(q) \left(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{kq^{k-1}}{1-q^k} \right)^2 + F(q) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{kq^{k-1}}{1-q^k} \right)^2 + F(q) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k(k-1)q^{k-2}}{1-q^k}.$$

After a geometric series expansion and infinite series manipulations, the last series takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\frac{k(k-1)q^{k-2}}{1-q^k}&=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}k(k-1)q^{k-2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}q^{kn}\\&=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}q^{-2}\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}k(k-1)q^{k(n+1)}\\&=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{2q^{2n}}{(1-q^{n+1})^3}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we arrive at

$$F''(q)=F(q)\left(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\frac{kq^{k-1}}{1-q^k}\right)^2+F(q)\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{kq^{k-1}}{1-q^k}\right)^2+F(q)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{2q^{2k-2}}{(1-q^k)^3}.$$

From here, we may readily infer

Theorem 6.1. $F(q)$ is strictly convex in the interval $\mathcal{I}$, i.e. $F''(q)>0$.

Corollary 6.2. For each compact subset $S\subset\mathcal{I}$, if n is large enough, then $C_n(q)$ is strictly convex in S.

Proof. Since the polynomials $C_n(q)$ converge uniformly on *compacta* to the analytic function $F(q)$, it follows $C_n''(q)\to F''(q)$ uniformly on compacta.
Claim. If $n\gg 1$, then $C_n''(q)\neq 0$ for any $q\in S$.
Suppose not! Then, there is a sequence $q_n\in S$ such that $C_n''(q_n)=0$. Bolzano–Weierstrass theorem ensures that there is a convergent subsequence $q_{n_k}\to q^*\in S$. Invoking triangular inequality, continuity of $F''(q)$ and the uniform convergence $C_n''\to F''$, we deduce (in the limit $k\to\infty$):

$$\begin{aligned}|F''(q^*)|&=|F''(q^*)-F''(q_{n_k})+F''(q_{n_k})-C_{n_k}''(q_{n_k})+C_{n_k}''(q_{n_k})|\\&\le|F''(q^*)-F''(q_{n_k})|+|F''(q_{n_k})-C_{n_k}''(q_{n_k})|+|0|\longrightarrow 0.\end{aligned}$$

That means $F''(q^*)=0$, a contradiction to $F''(q)>0$ of Theorem 6.1. We conclude $C_n''(q)\neq 0$ on S, provided $n\gg 1$. Therefore, as a continuous function, $C_n''(q)$ must be either always positive or negative. But, $C_n''(0)=2$ and hence $C_n''(q)>0$ in S. The proof follows. □

Here is a musing that we wish to note.

Proposition 6.3. The central coefficient $[q^{\binom{n}{2}}]C_n(q)$ of the q-Catalan is odd if and only if $n=2^k-1$ for some $k\in\mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Recall a well-known fact: the Catalan number C_n is odd iff $n=2^k-1$. For the sake of completeness, let's prove it. Given $n\in\mathbb{N}$, denote/define the 2-*adic valuation* by $\nu(n)=$ the highest power of 2 dividing n. Also,

let $s(n)$ = the sum of the binary digits of n. Legendre's formula furnishes $\nu(n!) = n - s(n)$. So, $\nu(C_n) = 2n - s(2n) - n + s(n) - n - 1 + s(n+1) = s(n+1) - 1 = 0$ iff $n + 1 = 2^k$, where $s(2n) = s(n)$ has been used. On the other hand, $C_n(q)$ is palindromic of even degree $n(n-1)$. Since $C_n(1) = C_n$, we have $C_n(1) \equiv [q^{\binom{n}{2}}]C_n(q) \bmod 2$. The proof follows. □

Note 6.4. Although we have the first partial proof regarding the convexity of the q-Catalan polynomials, our method stands being highly technical. As history has shown us abundantly, alternative and more concise methods usually come to replace initial attempts. We hope to see such follow-ups concerning our main result.

For example, *is there a more elegant proof of Conjecture 4.1 than what this paper offers as a heuristic argument?* To assist the interested reader, we shall formulate the problem (equivalently) in the language of log-convexity.

Problem 6.5. Assume $0 < x < 1$ is a real number and $n \geq 2$ an integer. Prove that

$$W_n(x) = \log\left(\frac{(1+x^{4n-1})(1+x^{2n})(1-x^{2n+1})}{(1+x^{2n+1})(1-x^{2n+2})}\right)$$

is a convex function of x.

In closing, the reader is invited to consider a cute inequality we encountered.

Problem 6.6. For each real number $t > 1$, prove

$$E_t(x) = \left(\frac{x}{t^x - 1}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{x+1}{t^{x+1}+1}\right)^2$$

is a strictly decreasing function of $x \in \mathbb{R}$. *Caveat.* $y = \left(\frac{x+1}{t^{x+1}+1}\right)^2$ is not monotonic.

Acknowledgments. The author wishes to thank the Center for Combinatorics at Nankai University, Tianjin, China, for a fantastic hospitality and conducive working environment while this paper was in progress.

References

[1] G. E. Andrews. *The Theory of Partitions*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Amsterdam, 1976. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 2.

[2] W. Y.C. Chen. Private communication.

Department of Mathematics, Tulane University, New Orleans, LA 70118, USA
E-mail address: tamdeber@tulane.edu